

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
10/759,980	01/16/2004	Gregory Paul Olmstead		8335		
75	90 07/26/2004	EXAMINER				
Mobile Thinking, LLC #115			FERNSTROM, KURT			
4019 Goldfinch Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
San Diego, CA 92103			3712			

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	l
	ļ
	l
•	l
	ļ
ň	F
Ü	ŀ
-	ľ
•	ŀ
\\$	ľ
	F
-	F
	þ
<u>B</u>	•
	ľ
	•
С	
CC	
COF	
E COPY	
COPY	
COPY	
E COPY	
E COPY	
ECOPY	
СОРУ	
СОРУ	
E COPY	
ЕСОРУ	

				IV
rpe II	Application No.	AN INCHES	Applicant(s)	7
	10/759,980	が 終す を 多数	OLMSTEAD, GREGOR	RY/PAUL
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Kurt Fernstrom		3712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the c	orrespondence addres	s (
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	(IO OFT TO EVOIDE o		C) FROM	•
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30), days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الله	thirty (30) days	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status	41	ind a	•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			:	
	action is non-final.	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	and the second s	, ,
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	1.1		secution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	<u>-</u>			
1 h 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	n parto quayro, reco q	and the		
Disposition of Claims		mail Service Mark		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.	1	:		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2. 1 is/are withdraw		1. 图绘		
5\\(\begin{align*} \text{Olaire}(a) & \text{in face allowed} \end{align*}	¥			F
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.;				➤
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		2000年		AILABL
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			رحن ن
2 - 2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -		2		
Application Papers	The state of the s		jog ff Levels and a second	Ö
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			Army to the life of the life of	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	epted or b) objected.	to by the E	Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abey	yance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attacl	ned Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	a de entre de la companya de la comp	Jaggere Andrease Andrease	وره في المنطق المنطق والمنطق المنطق	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		with the second		
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			y 1 4
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		n Applicatio	on No.	
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior			'	ae
application from the International Bureau		11		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ot receive	d.	
and the second	• •	E RE		
	ा व स्वाम्ब्रीतिक			
Attachment(s)	at the state of th			
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Intervie	w Sümmery lo(s)/Mail Da		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			atent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) ☐ Other: _		en grande de la companya de la comp La companya de la co	

Application/Control+Number: 1/0/759,980

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. As discussed below it is not clear whether applicant is attempting to claim an apparatus or a method. However, the closest guess is that "one or more computer programs" are being claimed. Computer programs in and of themselves are not patentable subject matter, because they are not fixed in a tangible medium, and thus are not properly considered to be machines, manufactures or compositions of matter. Rather, the invention amounts to the mere manipulation of abstract ideas

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of \$5 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims contain numerous examples of language which renders the claims indefinite. Claim 1 recites a "method and means for recording, storing and displaying discrete trial data". This makes it unclear whether the invention is directed to a method or an apparatus.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,980

Art Unit: 3712

Also, the term "other metrics" in claim 1 is overly broad, and does not sufficiently define the scope of the claimed subject matter. Claims 2-4, 6 and 7 recite "[t]he computer programs of claim 1." However, claim 1 does not recite as the invention computer programs; it recites a method and means as described above. Claim 2 recites the phrase "by dint of the processes in claim 8 or claim 9", which is indefinite because "by dint of " is an overly broad phrase and also because there is no claim 8 or claim 9. Claim 3 recites that a method may be used to ensure the uniqueness...". Firstly, the use of the word may renders the language indefinite, as it is not clear whether the method is being positively recited as part of the invention. Secondly, no particular method steps are recited which would achieve the claimed results. Methods must be claimed using specific, concrete steps, to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to understand and perform the method. Claims 6 and 7 recite the limitation "the user interface to display performance data and other metrics" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Also, claim 6 refers to times and dates of different devices described in claim 2. This is improper, as claim 6 depends from claim 1, not claim 2. Also, neither claim 1 nor claim 2 describes operating system times and dates. Also, it is not clear what is meant by "different" devices"; because "devices" is a broad term and because neither claim 1 nor claim 2 recites any devices.

Application/Control Number: 10/759,980

Art Unit: 3712

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which to as it the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cook. Cook discloses a device and method of providing instruction to students on a computer comprising various databases of information, including accounts for each student and an interface for tracking a student's progress, where content can be added or modified. While certain claimed steps are not explicitly disclosed, including the display of "discrete trial data are rother metrics", and various other specific bits of information recited in the claims, it is well known in database applications to allow for the inclusion and modification of many different types of information as desired. The claim limitations of claims 147 are obvious variations on the disclosure of Cook and well known principles of database management.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Stuppy, Safran, O'Connor, Owens, Vaughan.

Abrahamson, Siefert and Parry disclose various computer teaching methods and devices involving the use of databases to store and display information.

Application/Control*Number: 10/759,980

Art Unit: 3712.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Fernstrom whose telephone number is (703) 305-0303. The examiner can normally be reached on MsF 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-247-9197 (toll-free).

July 23, 2004

Rut Ferston